

Original Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2020.911.117>

## Effect of Growth Regulators on Morphological Parameters, Phenology, Yield Attributes and Yield of Muskmelon under Graded Levels of Moisture Stress

V. Roopa<sup>1\*</sup>, Sadarunnisa Syed<sup>2</sup>, P. SyamSundar Reddy<sup>1</sup> and Lalitha Kadiri<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Vegetable Science, <sup>2</sup>Department of Agronomy, Dr.YSR Horticultural University, College of Horticulture, Anantharajupeta, YSR Dist. A.P, India

\*Corresponding author

### ABSTRACT

#### Keywords

Muskmelon,  
Growth regulators,  
Moisture stress,  
Morphology,  
Phenology and  
Yield

#### Article Info

Accepted:  
10 October 2020  
Available Online:  
10 November 2020

A study on the effect of growth regulators on morphological parameters, phenology and yield of muskmelon under graded levels of moisture stress condition was carried out at College of Horticulture, Anantharajupeta. The experiment was laid out in two factorial completely randomized block design replicated thrice. Factor 1 comprised of three moisture stress levels imposed at 50 % flowering stage, T<sub>1</sub>- 0 days water stress, T<sub>2</sub> - 7 days water stress and T<sub>3</sub> - 14 days water stress and Factor 2 comprised of three foliar sprays, S<sub>1</sub>- water spray (Control), S<sub>2</sub> – Gibberellic acid (50 ppm), S<sub>3</sub> – Salicylic acid (0.5 mM). The results indicated that among the moisture stress levels, the morphological parameters and yield attributes were superior in plants not subjected to water stress. Among the foliar sprays tried, SA (0.5 mM) and GA<sub>3</sub> (50 ppm) improved the morphological and yield attributes compared to water spray. Under stress condition, the exogenous application of gibberellic acid @ 50 ppm and salicylic acid @ 0.5 mM was effective in mitigating the deleterious effect of drought stress in muskmelon.

### Introduction

Muskmelon (*Cucumis melo* L., 2n= 24) is one of the most economically important vining fruit vegetables especially during summer in hot and dry regions of India. Muskmelon known as dessert vegetable is a native of tropical Africa. In India, muskmelon occupies an area of 52 thousand hectares with an annual production of about 1135 thousand metric tonnes (Anon, 2017- 2018). Muskmelon is a wholesome food and it helps in managing hypertension, improves vision,

acts as an immunity booster, prevents heart disease, controls diabetes and lowers cholesterol.

In agriculture, abiotic and biotic stress factors are a product of global climate change; intensive agricultural practices cause substantial yield loss and are becoming more widespread besides, the increase in demand for farmable land (Stefanelli *et al.*, 2010). Among the abiotic factors, drought is predominant as it affects plant growth and development (Ferrara *et al.*, 2011) and limits

agricultural crop production (Rebey *et al.*, 2012). Drought, slows growth, induces stomatal closure and thereby reduces photosynthesis (Nemeth *et al.*, 2002). Plant hormones are vital components of plant growth and development, which help in plant adaptation through their responses on stomatal functioning, plant water balance, nutrient allocations, and source-sink transitions, besides maintaining antioxidant status especially during stress. For mitigation of drought, foliar sprays with chemicals or plant growth regulators which render drought proofing to the crop will serve as cost effective strategies. The main objective of this study was to test the exogenous application of SA and GA<sub>3</sub> on muskmelon under different water stress conditions at 50 % flowering stage on morphological and yield attributes of muskmelon.

## Materials and Methods

Field experiment was carried out at College of Horticulture, Anantharajupeta, Dr. Y.S.R. Horticultural University, Andhra Pradesh, during late *kharif*, 2018. The experiment was laid out in a naturally ventilated polyhouse, in two factorial CRD with three replications. Factor 1: comprised of three moisture stress levels imposed at 50 % flowering stage *viz.*, T<sub>1</sub>- 0 days water stress, T<sub>2</sub> - 7 days water stress and T<sub>3</sub> - 14 days water stress and Factor 2: comprised of three foliar sprays, S<sub>1</sub>- water spray (Control), S<sub>2</sub> - Gibberellic acid (50 ppm), S<sub>3</sub> - Salicylic acid (0.5 mM). A local genotype, Alpur Green was selected for this experiment and sown at 100×75cm.

## Results and Discussion

### Morphology of muskmelon as influenced by moisture stress and PGR's

Statistically non-significant variation on vine length, internodal length and number of nodes

of muskmelon was recorded at 30 DAS. Where as significant variation was recorded in vine length, internodal length and number of nodes due to different moisture stress levels, plant growth regulators and their interactions at 60 DAS and at harvest.

The vine length, internodal length and number of nodes of muskmelon both at 60 DAS (183.66 cm, 13.66 cm and 22.93) and at harvest (273.84 cm, 15.82 cm and 38.72) were superior in the non stressed plants compared to the treatments where water stress was imposed for seven and 14 days during flowering stage (Table 1).

Among the sprays tried for mitigation of water stress both at 60 DAS (180.56 cm, 13.10 cm and 23.50) and at harvest (260.65 cm, 14.92 cm and 39.36), GA<sub>3</sub> 50 ppm spray recorded maximum vine length followed by SA 0.5 mM. Among the interactions, treatment combinations T<sub>1</sub>S<sub>2</sub> (0 days water stress + GA<sub>3</sub>50ppm) was found superior in the vine length.

With the increase in deficit irrigation, vine length was reduced. This reduction could be attributed to a lower rate of cell division in the plant. Exogenously applied GA<sub>3</sub> increased the cell division and cell elongation by enhancing endogenous gibberellic acid content under drought stress in turn increasing the plant height, internodal length and number of nodes (Rodriguez *et al.*, 2006 and Shao *et al.*, 2008). Singh and Usha (2003) suggested that the promotive effect of SA on growth of plants under drought stress may be related to the induction of antioxidant responses which protect plant from damage.

These results are in agreement with those reported by Sinojiya *et al.*, (2015) in watermelon, Mirabad *et al.*, (2014), Chourasiya *et al.*, (2016) in muskmelon and Ajay *et al.*, (2018) in cucumber.

**Table.1** Effect of moisture stress levels and plant growth regulators on morphological parameters of muskmelon

|                   |  | Vine length (cm) |        |         | Internodal length (cm) |       |         | Number of nodes |       |         |
|-------------------|--|------------------|--------|---------|------------------------|-------|---------|-----------------|-------|---------|
|                   |  | At 30            | At 60  | At      | At 30                  | At 60 | At      | At 30           | At 60 | At      |
|                   |  | DAS              | DAS    | harvest | DAS                    | DAS   | harvest | DAS             | DAS   | harvest |
| Stress levels (T) | 0 days water stress (T <sub>1</sub> )    | 83.88            | 183.66 | 273.84  | 6.56                   | 13.67 | 15.82   | 9.92            | 22.93 | 38.72   |
|                   | 7 days water stress (T <sub>2</sub> )    | 91.11            | 163.93 | 252.10  | 7.11                   | 11.33 | 12.99   | 9.58            | 20.87 | 37.17   |
|                   | 14 days water stress (T <sub>3</sub> )   | 88.33            | 140.54 | 230.33  | 6.56                   | 9.67  | 11.17   | 8.93            | 19.03 | 34.99   |
|                   | CD (5%)                                  | N.S              | 1.00   | 1.07    | N.S                    | 0.24  | 0.44    | N.S             | 0.13  | 0.11    |
| Sprays (S)        | Water spray (S <sub>1</sub> )            | 92.22            | 147.10 | 243.70  | 7.33                   | 10.03 | 11.70   | 9.43            | 18.50 | 34.42   |
|                   | GA <sub>3</sub> 50 ppm (S <sub>2</sub> ) | 86.66            | 180.56 | 260.65  | 6.44                   | 13.10 | 14.92   | 9.30            | 23.50 | 39.36   |
|                   | SA 0.5 mM (S <sub>3</sub> )              | 84.44            | 160.47 | 251.92  | 6.44                   | 11.53 | 13.36   | 9.70            | 20.83 | 37.10   |
|                   | CD (5%)                                  | N.S              | 1.00   | 1.07    | N.S                    | 0.24  | 0.44    | N.S             | 0.13  | 0.11    |

N.S-Non-significant

**Table.2** Interaction effect of moisture stress levels and plant growth regulators on morphological parameters of muskmelon

|  | Sprays (S)                               | Vine length (cm) |        |         | Internodal length (cm) |       |         | Number of nodes |       |         |
|--|--|------------------|--------|---------|------------------------|-------|---------|-----------------|-------|---------|
|  |  | At 30            | At 60  | At      | At 30                  | At 60 | At      | At 30           | At 60 | At      |
|  |  | DAS              | DAS    | harvest | DAS                    | DAS   | harvest | DAS             | DAS   | harvest |
|  | Water spray (S <sub>1</sub> )            | 93.33            | 170.30 | 265.30  | 7.33                   | 12.00 | 13.87   | 10.33           | 20.60 | 36.60   |
| 0 days water stress (T <sub>1</sub> )  | GA <sub>3</sub> 50 ppm (S <sub>2</sub> ) | 83.33            | 200.50 | 281.16  | 6.33                   | 15.00 | 17.33   | 9.33            | 25.60 | 41.17   |
|  | SA 0.5 mM (S <sub>3</sub> )              | 75.00            | 180.20 | 275.06  | 6.00                   | 14.00 | 16.27   | 10.10           | 22.60 | 38.40   |
|  | Water spray (S <sub>1</sub> )            | 91.66            | 150.80 | 245.20  | 7.00                   | 10.00 | 11.70   | 8.93            | 18.60 | 34.40   |
| 7 days water stress (T <sub>2</sub> )  | GA <sub>3</sub> 50 ppm (S <sub>2</sub> ) | 93.33            | 180.40 | 260.70  | 7.67                   | 13.00 | 14.80   | 9.23            | 23.50 | 39.50   |
|  | SA 0.5 mM (S <sub>3</sub> )              | 88.33            | 160.60 | 250.40  | 6.67                   | 11.00 | 12.47   | 10.57           | 20.50 | 37.60   |
|  | Water spray (S <sub>1</sub> )            | 91.66            | 120.20 | 220.60  | 7.66                   | 8.10  | 9.53    | 9.03            | 16.30 | 32.27   |
| 14 days water stress (T <sub>3</sub> ) | GA <sub>3</sub> 50 ppm (S <sub>2</sub> ) | 83.33            | 160.80 | 240.10  | 5.33                   | 11.30 | 12.63   | 9.33            | 21.40 | 37.40   |
|  | SA 0.5 mM (S <sub>3</sub> )              | 90.00            | 140.63 | 230.30  | 6.67                   | 9.60  | 11.33   | 8.43            | 19.40 | 35.30   |
|  | C.D (5%)                                 | N.S              | 1.74   | 1.85    | N.S                    | 0.41  | 0.76    | N.S             | 0.22  | 0.20    |

N.S-Non-significant

**Table.3** Effect of moisture stress levels and plant growth regulators on phenology of muskmelon

|                   |  | Node at which the first staminate flower appeared | Node at which the first pistillate flower appeared | Female to male flower ratio | Days to 50 % flowering | Node number of first fruit set | Days to appearance of first staminate flower | Days to appearance of first pistillate flower |
|-------------------|--|---|--|-----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|
| Stress levels (T) | 0 days water stress (T <sub>1</sub> )    | 3.58  | 5.17   | 0.13                        | 35.48                  | 6.43                           | 27.58  | 35.56   |
|                   | 7 days water stress (T <sub>2</sub> )    | 3.36  | 5.22   | 0.08                        | 37.79                  | 6.92                           | 27.57  | 36.32   |
|                   | 14 days water stress (T <sub>3</sub> )   | 3.66  | 5.38   | 0.07                        | 36.90                  | 6.48                           | 26.17  | 35.88   |
|                   | CD (5%)                                  | N.S   | N.S  | 0.010                       | N.S                    | N.S                            | N.S  | N.S   |
| Sprays (S)        | Water spray (S <sub>1</sub> )            | 3.67  | 5.58   | 0.08                        | 36.97                  | 7.01                           | 27.40  | 36.33   |
|                   | GA <sub>3</sub> 50 ppm (S <sub>2</sub> ) | 3.42  | 4.96   | 0.11                        | 33.77                  | 6.44                           | 27.63  | 35.54   |
|                   | SA 0.5 mM (S <sub>3</sub> )              | 3.50  | 5.23   | 0.10                        | 35.70                  | 6.38                           | 27.70  | 35.88   |
|                   | CD (5%)                                  | N.S   | N.S  | 0.010                       | N.S                    | N.S                            | N.S  | N.S   |

N.S-Non-significant

**Table.4** Interaction effect of moisture stress levels and plant growth regulators on phenology of muskmelon

| Stress levels (T)                        | Sprays (S) |      | Node at which the first staminate flower appeared | Node at which the first pistillate flower appeared | Female to male flower ratio | Days to 50 % flowering | Node number of first fruit set | Days to appearance of first staminate flower | Days to appearance of first pistillate flower |
|--|------------|------|---|--|-----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|
| 0 days water stress (T <sub>1</sub> )    | CD (5%)    |      | N.S   | N.S  | 0.017                       | N.S                    | N.S                            | N.S  | N.S   |
| 7 days water stress (T <sub>2</sub> )    |            |      |   |  |                             |                        |                                |  |   |
| 14 days water stress (T <sub>3</sub> )   |            |      |   |  |                             |                        |                                |  |   |
| Water spray (S <sub>1</sub> )            | 4.50       | 5.83 | 0.10  | 36.97  | 7.00                        | 27.40                  | 35.97                          |  |   |
| GA <sub>3</sub> 50 ppm (S <sub>2</sub> ) | 3.20       | 4.83 | 0.15  | 33.77  | 6.50                        | 27.63                  | 34.80                          |  |   |
| SA 0.5 mM (S <sub>3</sub> )              | 3.03       | 4.83 | 0.14  | 35.70  | 5.80                        | 27.70                  | 35.90                          |  |   |
| Water spray (S <sub>1</sub> )            | 3.20       | 5.40 | 0.07  | 38.23  | 7.63                        | 27.60                  | 36.40                          |  |   |
| GA <sub>3</sub> 50 ppm (S <sub>2</sub> ) | 3.40       | 4.87 | 0.09  | 37.40  | 6.17                        | 28.37                  | 36.87                          |  |   |
| SA 0.5 mM (S <sub>3</sub> )              | 3.47       | 5.40 | 0.08  | 37.73  | 6.97                        | 26.73                  | 35.70                          |  |   |
| Water spray (S <sub>1</sub> )            | 3.30       | 5.50 | 0.06  | 38.27  | 6.40                        | 26.70                  | 36.63                          |  |   |
| GA <sub>3</sub> 50 ppm (S <sub>2</sub> ) | 3.67       | 5.17 | 0.08  | 36.17  | 6.67                        | 25.73                  | 34.97                          |  |   |
| SA 0.5 mM (S <sub>3</sub> )              | 4.00       | 5.47 | 0.07  | 36.27  | 6.37                        | 26.07                  | 36.03                          |  |   |

N.S-Non-significant

**Table.5** Effect of moisture stress levels and plant growth regulators on yield attributes and yield of muskmelon

|                   |  | Days to first fruit harvest | Number of fruits per plant | Fruit weight (kg) | Yield per plant (kg) | Yield per hectare (t/ha) |
|-------------------|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| Stress levels (T) | 0 days water stress (T <sub>1</sub> )  | 72.16                       | 3.97                       | 1.14              | 4.51                 | 56.36                    |
|                   | 7 days water stress (T <sub>2</sub> )  | 67.13                       | 3.67                       | 0.92              | 3.38                 | 42.22                    |
|                   | 14 days water stress (T <sub>3</sub> ) | 61.30                       | 1.84                       | 0.67              | 1.23                 | 15.42                    |
|                   | CD (5%)                                | 1.07                        | 0.06                       | 0.01              | 0.01                 | 0.19                     |
|                   | Water spray                            | 68.50                       | 2.97                       | 0.85              | 2.68                 | 33.51                    |
|                   | GA <sub>3</sub> 50 ppm                 | 64.89                       | 3.17                       | 0.91              | 3.03                 | 37.92                    |
|                   | SA 0.5 mM                              | 67.20                       | 3.33                       | 0.97              | 3.41                 | 42.57                    |
| Sprays (S)        | CD (5%)                                | 1.07                        | 0.06                       | 0.01              | 0.01                 | 0.19                     |

**Table.6** Interaction effect of moisture stress levels and plant growth regulators on yield attributes and yields of muskmelon

| Water stress (T)                       | Sprays (S)                               | Days to first fruit harvest | Number of fruits per plant | Fruit weight (kg) | Yield per plant (kg) | Yield per hectare (t/ha) |
|--|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| 0 days water stress (T <sub>1</sub> )  | Water spray (S <sub>1</sub> )            | 73.30                       | 3.83                       | 1.06              | 4.06                 | 50.75                    |
|  | GA <sub>3</sub> 50 ppm (S <sub>2</sub> ) | 70.87                       | 3.92                       | 1.13              | 4.42                 | 55.25                    |
|  | SA 0.5 mM (S <sub>3</sub> )              | 72.30                       | 4.15                       | 1.12              | 5.05                 | 63.09                    |
| 7 days water stress (T <sub>2</sub> )  | Water spray (S <sub>1</sub> )            | 68.50                       | 3.42                       | 0.85              | 2.90                 | 36.29                    |
|  | GA <sub>3</sub> 50 ppm (S <sub>2</sub> ) | 65.30                       | 3.77                       | 0.92              | 3.46                 | 43.25                    |
|  | SA 0.5 mM (S <sub>3</sub> )              | 67.60                       | 3.81                       | 0.99              | 3.77                 | 47.13                    |
|  | Water spray (S <sub>1</sub> )            | 63.70                       | 1.66                       | 0.65              | 1.08                 | 13.50                    |
| 14 days water stress (T <sub>3</sub> ) | GA <sub>3</sub> 50 ppm (S <sub>2</sub> ) | 58.50                       | 1.83                       | 0.67              | 1.22                 | 15.25                    |
|  | SA 0.5 mM (S <sub>3</sub> )              | 61.70                       | 2.02                       | 0.69              | 1.40                 | 17.50                    |
|  | CD (5%)                                  | N.S                         | 0.10                       | 0.02              | 0.03                 | 0.33                     |

N.S-Non-significant

### **Phenology of muskmelon as affected by moisture stress and PGR's**

Days to appearance of first staminate and pistillate flower, node at which the first staminate and pistillate flower appeared, days to 50 % flowering recorded non-significant variation due to moisture stress levels, growth regulators and their interaction, as stress was not imposed during early stages of growth. The influence of different levels of moisture stress and growth regulators on node number of first fruit set was non-significant. Female to male flower ratio (0.13) was superior in the non stressed plants compared to the treatments where water stress was imposed for seven and 14 days during flowering stage (Table 3). Female to male sex ratio was high when gibberellic acid 50 ppm was sprayed which was on par with SA 0.5mM. T<sub>1</sub>S<sub>2</sub> recorded the highest sex ratio (0.15) which was on par with T<sub>1</sub>S<sub>3</sub> (0.14). In the water stressed treatments (Table 4), the treatment combination T<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub> recorded the high sex ratio which was on par with T<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>.

Spraying of growth regulators *i.e.*, GA<sub>3</sub> at lower concentrations increased the female to male sex ratio. These results were in close agreement with those of Ghani *et al.*, (2013) in cucumber, Patil *et al.*, (2014) in muskmelon, Devi and Madhanakumari (2015) and Chourasiya *et al.*, (2016) in muskmelon and Ajay *et al.*, (2018) in cucumber.

### **Effect of moisture stress levels and plant growth regulators on yield of muskmelon**

The muskmelon plants which were not subjected to water stress surpassed the water stressed plants in both growth and yield, recorded superior values for parameters *viz.*, number of fruits per plant (3.97), fruit weight (1.14 kg), yield per plant (4.51 kg) and per hectare (56.36 t/ha). However, minimum number of days to first fruit harvest (61.30

days) was significantly superior in plants subjected to 14 days water stress (Table 5).

Spraying of salicylic acid 0.5 mM recorded significantly higher number of fruits per plant (3.33), fruit weight (0.97 kg), yield per plant (3.41 kg), yield per hectare (42.57 t/ha) in muskmelon both in non-stressed and water stressed plants followed by GA<sub>3</sub> 50 ppm. Number of days taken for first fruit harvest was minimum with GA<sub>3</sub> 50 ppm treatment followed by SA 0.5 mM (Table 5).

Among the interactions, the treatment combinations in nonstressed plants sprayed with salicylic acid 0.5 mM (T<sub>1</sub>S<sub>3</sub>) was significantly high in number of fruits per plant (4.15), fruit weight (1.22 kg), yield per plant (5.05 kg) and yield per hectare (63.09 t/ha). In water stressed plants, T<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> was found to be superior in the aforesaid parameters. However number of fruits per plant was high in T<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> which was on par with T<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>. Minimum number of days to first fruit harvest, fruit length and fruit diameter among the treatment combinations was non-significant (Table 6).

Adequate irrigation in muskmelon seems to have boosted the vigorous growth eventually increasing the number of fruits per plant, whereas the plants subjected to water stress showed a decline in the number of fruits. Exogenous SA application increases fruit weight and fruits per plant. The results corroborated with the findings of Rashidi and Seyfi (2007), Keshavarzpour and Rashidi (2011) and Mirabad *et al.*, (2014) in muskmelon.

Increase of yield under foliar application of salicylic acid could be ascribed to the well-known roles of salicylic acid in improving photosynthetic parameters, translocation of sugars from source to sink and in boosting the plant water relations aiding in osmotic adjustment under stress. The trend of present

results was in line with the findings of Nasrabi *et al.*, (2015) and Mirabad *et al.*, (2014) in muskmelon.

In conclusion the yield of muskmelon gradually declined with the increase in water stress. The reduction in yield as a result of water stress could be curbed by the exogenous supply of growth regulators. Among the foliar sprays tried, gibberellic acid 50 ppm and salicylic acid 0.5 mM improved the growth and yield of muskmelon both under stressed as well as in non- stressed conditions (control). Exogenous application of gibberellic acid 50 ppm or salicylic acid 0.5 mM can effectively mitigate the deleterious effect of drought stress in muskmelon.

## References

- Ajay, S.K, Asati, K.P, Barche, S. and Tulasigeri, R.G. 2018. Effect of different plant growth regulators on growth, yield and quality parameters in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) under polyhouse condition. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*.7(4): 3339-3352.
- Anonymous. National Horticulture Data Base. 2017. National Horticulture Board. Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India.
- Chaurasiya, J, Verma, R.B, Ahmad, M, Adarsh, A, Kumar, R. and Pratap, T. 2016. Influence of plant growth regulators on growth, sex expression, yield and quality of muskmelon. *Ecology, Environment and Conservation Paper*. Pp. 39-43.
- Devi, Y.R. and Madhanakumari, P. 2015. Effect of plant growth regulators on flowering and yield of muskmelon (*Cucumis melo* L.). *Plant Archives*. 2:899-901.
- Ferrara, A, Lovelli, S, Tommaso, D.T. and Perniola, M. 2011. Flowering, growth and fruit setting in greenhouse bell pepper under water stress. *Journal of Agronomy*. 10: 12-19.
- Ghani, M.A, Amjad, M, Iqbal, Q, Nawaz, A, Ahmad, T, Osama-Bin-Abdul Hafeez and Mazhar Abbas. 2013. Efficacy of plant growth regulators on sex expression, earliness and yield components in bitter melon. *Pakistan Journal of Life Society and Science*. 11(3): 218-24.
- Keshavarzpour, F. and Rashidi, M. 2011. Response of crop yield and yield components of cantaloupe to drought stress. *World Applied Sciences Journal*. 15(3): 382-385.
- Mirabad, A.A, Lotfi, M. and Roozban, M.R. 2014. Growth, yield, yield components and water-use efficiency in irrigated cantaloupes under full and deficit irrigation. *Electronic Journal of Biology*.10(3): 79-84.
- Nasrabadi, H.N, Nemati, H, Kafi, M. and Arouei, H. 2015. Effect of foliar application with salicylic acid on two Iranian melons (*Cucumis melo* L.) under water deficit. *Asian Journal of Agricultural Research*. 10 (33): 3305-3309.
- Nemeth, M, Janda, T, Hovarth, E, Paldi, E. and Szali, G. 2002. Exogenous salicylic acid increases polyamine content but may decrease drought tolerance in maize. *Plant Science*. 162:569-574.
- Patil, D.V, Bhagat, K.P. and Saha, S. 2014. Effect of water stress at critical growth stages in drip irrigated muskmelon (*Cucumis melo* L.) of semi-arid region of western Maharashtra, India. *Plant Archives*. 14: 161-169.
- Rashidi, M. and Seyfi, K. 2007. Effect of water stress on crop yield and yield components of cantaloupe. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*. 9: 271-273.
- Rebey, I, Jabri-Karoui, I, Hamrouni-Sellami,

- I, Bourgou, S, Limam, F. and Marzouk, B. 2012. Effect of drought on the biochemical composition and antioxidant activities of cumin (*Cuminum cyminum* L.) seeds. *Industrial Crops and Products*. 36: 238–245.
- Rodriguez, A.A, Stella, A.M, Storni, M.M, Zulpa, G. and Zaccaro, M. C. 2006. Effects of cyanobacterial extracellular products and gibberellic acid on salinity tolerance in *Oryza sativa* L. *Saline Systems*. 2: 7.
- Shao, H.B, Chu, L.Y, Shao, M.A, Jaleel, C.A, Mi, H.M. and Hong-Mei, M. 2008. Higher plant antioxidants and redox signalling under environmental stresses. *Comptes Rendus Biologies*. 331: 433-441.
- Singh, B. and Usha, K. 2003. Salicylic acid induced physiological and biochemical changes in wheat seedlings under water stress. *Plant Growth Regulation*. 39: 137–141.
- Sinojiya, A.G, Kacha, H.L, Jethaloja, B.P. and Giriraj, J. 2015. Effect of plant growth regulators on growth, flowering, yield and quality of watermelon (*Citrullus lanatus* Thunb.) cv. Shine beauty. *Environment and Ecology*. 33:1774-1778.
- Stefanelli, D, Goodwin, I. and Jones, R. 2010. Minimal nitrogen and water use in horticulture: Effects on quality and content of selected nutrients. *Food Research International*. 43: 1833–1843.

**How to cite this article:**

Roopa, V., Sadarunnisa Syed, P. SyamSundar Reddy and Lalitha Kadiri. 2020. Effect of Growth Regulators on Morphological Parameters, Phenology, Yield Attributes and Yield of Muskmelon under Graded Levels of Moisture Stress. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci*. 9(11): 983-990. doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2020.911.117>